arXiv:hep-th/0701262v1 29 Jan 2007

Hamilton-Jacobi Approach for First Order Actions and Theories with Higher Derivatives

M. C. Bertin[(a)1], B. M. Pimentel[(a)2], P. J. Pompeia[(a,b)3]

[(a)] Instituto de Física Teórica - Universidade Estadual Paulista,
Rua Pamplona 145, 01405-900, São Paulo, SP, Brazil.
Telephone +55 11 3177-9029, FAX +55 11 3177-9080
[(b)] Comando-Geral de Tecnologia Aeroespacial - Instituto de Fomento e Coordenação Industrial - Divisão de Confiabilidade Metrológica Aeroespacial
Praça Mal. Eduardo Gomes, 50, 12228-901, São José dos Campos, SP, Brazil.

Abstract

In this work we analyze systems described by Lagrangians with higher order derivatives in the context of the Hamilton-Jacobi formalism for first order actions. Two different approaches are studied here: the first one is analogous to the description of theories with higher derivatives in the hamiltonian formalism according to [11, 12]; the second treats the case where degenerate coordinate are present, in an analogy to reference [13]. Several examples are analyzed where a comparison between both approaches is made.

PACS Nos: 11.10.Ef, 45.20.-d

Keywords: Hamilton-Jacobi formalism, singular systems, first order actions, higher order derivatives.

1 Introduction

The interest of physicists by systems described by Lagrangians with derivatives higher than one is not recent. Since 1850, when Ostrogradski developed the first work concerning the hamiltonian formalism for systems with higher derivatives [1], systems of this type have been used in many relevant problems of Physics. As examples one can cite the works of Podolsky [2] and Bopp [3], who independently proposed generalizations of Electrodynamics containing second order derivatives, and the works of Green [4], who proposed a generalized meson-field theory. Many other applications can be found in literature [5, 6, 7, 8], where systems with higher derivatives have been successfully used. When dealing with

[1]mcbertin@ift.unesp.br
[2]pimentel@ift.unesp.br
[3]pompeia@ift.unesp.br

systems of this type, special attempts must be made when the Lagrangian is singular.

As it is well known, the usual approach to deal with singular systems was developed by Dirac in the early 1950's [9, 10], and its application to system with higher derivatives was made in the 1980's [11, 12], which we refer henceforth as the standard approach. Very recently, a new development for systems with higher derivatives and degenerate coordinates, *i.e.* coordinates whose derivatives are not present in the theory (refered from now on as the degenerate coordinates approach), was made by Gitman and Tyutin [13] and a new definition of singularity of a theory was proposed. According to the authors, this new definition would be strictly correlated to the gauge character of the theory. One interesting feature of both these developments lies on the fact that a Lagrangian linear in the velocities can be written down.

Although widely accepted, Dirac formalism did not avoid the appearance of other approaches, which always provide new points of view for the same problems. One of them is the Hamilton-Jacobi (HJ) formalism, based on the Carathéodory Equivalent Lagrangian method [14], whose approach to singular systems was developed by Güler [15], and since several applications and extra developments have been made [16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21], including the study of systems with second and higher order derivatives [22, 24]. An important application in the context of this work was recently made in reference [25], where systems described by first order actions, *i.e.* Lagrangians linear in the velocities, were studied *via* HJ formalism. In [25] it was also shown how generalized brackets can be constructed and how it is related to the existence of a symplectic structure in this formalism.

In this work we intend to analyze how systems with higher derivatives are described in the HJ first order context. Considering that two different approaches, the standard one and that with degenerate coordinates, were made for systems with higher derivatives in the hamiltonian description, we intend to compare how both of them can be described in the HJ formalism and see what differences can be pointed out. For this in the next section we will make a review of the HJ first order actions. In sequence we will apply this structure for systems with higher order derivatives in analogy to the standard approach (SA). Then the same will be made in the degenerate coordinates context (DC). Several examples will be analyzed and the differences will be pointed out. At last some remarks will be made.

2 First Order Actions in HJ Formalism

Let us consider the following Lagrangian

$$L\left(z_B, \dot{z}_B\right) = \dot{z}_A K^A\left(z_B\right) - V\left(z_B\right), \qquad A, B = 1, ..., N. \tag{1}$$

One then identifies the constraints

$$\phi^A \equiv p^A - K^A(z) = 0,$$
$$\phi^0 \equiv p^0 + V(z) = 0.$$

According to reference [25], to verify the integrability conditions of this system, one must analyze the matrix

$$M^{AB} \equiv \left\{\phi^A, \phi^B\right\} = \frac{\partial K^B}{\partial z_A} - \frac{\partial K^A}{\partial z_B}. \tag{2}$$

If $\left\{\phi^A, \phi^0\right\} = 0,\ M^{AB} = 0$, then system is integrable. When this is not the case, relations between the z_B are stablished, leading one to define generalized brackets. When the matrix M^{AB} has rank $P = N - R$, then a submatrix $P \times P$ exists such that

$$\det\left(M^{\dot{a}\dot{b}}\right) \neq 0, \qquad \dot{a}, \dot{b} = 1, ..., P,$$

which means that $M^{-1}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}$ also exists. The identification of this submatrix separate z_B in two sets

$$z_B \rightarrow \begin{cases} t_{\dot{b}}\ , & \dot{b} = 1, ..., P, \\ t_\beta\ , & \beta = 1, ..., R, \end{cases}$$

where $t_{\dot{b}}$ are the true dynamical variables and t_β play the role of parameters in the theory. When this separation is done, some integrability conditions must be satisfied:

$$\left\{\phi^\alpha, \phi^\beta\right\} = \left\{\phi^\alpha, \phi^{\dot{b}}\right\} M^{-1}_{\dot{b}\dot{a}} \left\{\phi^{\dot{a}}, \phi^\beta\right\}. \tag{3}$$

Finally, generalized brackets can be constructed

$$\{F, G\}_* \equiv \{F, G\} - \left\{F, \phi^{\dot{b}}\right\} M^{-1}_{\dot{b}\dot{a}} \left\{\phi^{\dot{a}}, G\right\}, \tag{4}$$

such that the differential of any function $E = E(z)$ becomes

$$dE = \left\{E, \phi^{\beta'}\right\}_* dt_{\beta'}. \tag{5}$$

Hence the equations of motion can be obtained by setting $E = t_{\dot{b}}$.

3 Theories with Higher Derivatives - Standard Approach

In order to apply the structure of previous section to theories with higher derivatives one can employ the development of references [11, 12], which is described below. Let the system of interest be decribed by a Lagrangian

$$L = L\left(q^a, q^{(l_a)}, t\right), \tag{6}$$

where

$$q^{a(l_a)} \equiv \frac{d^{l_a}}{dt^{l_a}} q^a, \qquad (a = 1, ..., n; l_a = 1, ..., N_a)\,.$$

This theory is constructed in a configuration space with n coordinates q^a. Instead of studying the theory in this space, let one consider a larger space with coordinates x_s^a, v^a such that

$$\begin{cases} x_s^a \equiv q^{a(s-1)}, \quad s = 1, ..., N_a, \left(q^{a(0)} \equiv q^a\right) \\ v^a \equiv q^{a(N_a)}. \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

With these definitions it can be stablished the following relations between some coordinates and some of their time derivatives

$$\begin{cases} x_{s+1}^a = \dot{x}_s^a \Rightarrow \dot{x}_s^a - x_{s+1}^a = 0, \quad s = 1, ..., N_a - 1, \\ v^a = \dot{x}_{N_a}^a \Rightarrow \dot{x}_{N_a}^a - v^a = 0. \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

In this enlarged space the system can be described by the following Lagrangian

$$L^v \equiv L\left(q^{a(s-1)} = x_s^a, q^{a(N_a)} = v^a, t\right) = L^v\left(x_s^a, v^a, t\right).$$

Since the relations (8) must be satisfied, one can use Lagrange multipliers to incorporate them in the theory:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{L}^v = \bar{L}^v\left(x_s^a, v^a, t; \pi_a^s\right) \equiv L^v\left(x_s^a, v^a, t\right) + \\ + \sum_a \sum_{s=1}^{N_a - 1} \pi_a^s \left(\dot{x}_s^a - x_{s+1}^a\right) + \sum_a \pi_a^{N_a} \left(\dot{x}_{N_a}^a - v^a\right). \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

It must be noticed that, with these multipliers, the theory is now constructed in larger space with coordinates x_s^a, v^a, π_a^s. $\bar{L}^v$ can be rewritten as a first order Lagrangian

$$\bar{L}^v = \sum_a \sum_{s=1}^{N_a - 1} \pi_a^s \dot{x}_s^a + \sum_a \pi_a^{N_a} \dot{x}_{N_a}^a - H^v\left(x_s^a, v^a, t; \pi_a^s\right), \tag{10}$$

$$H^v\left(x_s^a, v^a, t; \pi_a^s\right) \equiv \sum_a \sum_{s=1}^{N_a - 1} \pi_a^s x_{s+1}^a + \sum \pi_a^{N_a} v^a - L^v\left(x_s^a, v^a, t\right).$$

Now the procedure of the previous section can be applied

3.1 HJ first order approach

In (10) the functions K^A and the constraints can be identified as

$$\begin{cases} K_a^v = 0 \\ K_a^{x_s} = \pi_a^s \\ K_{\pi_s}^a = 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \phi_a^v = p_a^v = 0 \\ \phi_a^{x_s} = p_a^{x_s} - \pi_a^s = 0 \\ \phi_{\pi_s}^a = p_{\pi_s}^a = 0 \end{cases}, \; s = 1, ..., N_a,$$

$$\phi^t = p^0 + H^v = 0,$$

which allow one to construct the matrix M^{AB}:

$$M^{AB} = \frac{\partial K^B}{\partial z_A} - \frac{\partial K^A}{\partial z_B},$$

$$\left(M^{AB}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{\partial K_b^{x_s}}{\partial v^a} - \frac{\partial K_a^v}{\partial x_s^b} & \frac{\partial K^c_{\pi_{s'}}}{\partial v^a} - \frac{\partial K_a^v}{\partial \pi^{s'}_c} \\ \frac{\partial K_b^v}{\partial x_s^b} - \frac{\partial K_b^{x_s}}{\partial v^a} & 0 & \frac{\partial K^c_{\pi_{s'}}}{\partial x_s^b} - \frac{\partial K_b^{x_s}}{\partial \pi^{s'}_c} \\ \frac{\partial K_a^v}{\partial \pi^s_c} - \frac{\partial K^c_{\pi_s}}{\partial v^a} & \frac{\partial K_b^{x_s}}{\partial \pi^{s'}_c} - \frac{\partial K^c_{\pi_{s'}}}{\partial x_s^b} & 0 \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\delta^s_{s'}\delta^c_b \\ 0 & \delta^s_{s'}\delta^c_b & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This matrix is singular, but there is an inversible submatrix

$$\left(M^{\dot{c}\dot{b}}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\delta^s_{s'}\delta^c_b \\ \delta^s_{s'}\delta^c_b & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\left(M^{-1}_{\dot{a}\dot{c}}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta^s_{s'}\delta^a_c \\ -\delta^s_{s'}\delta^a_c & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

showing that the variables can be separated in two sets:

$$t_{\dot{a}} = \left\{x_s^a; \pi_a^s\right\},$$
$$t_\beta = \left\{v^a\right\}.$$

With this separation one must stablish the integrability conditions

$$\left\{\phi^\beta, \phi^t\right\} = \left\{\phi^\beta, \phi^{\dot{a}}\right\} M^{-1}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \left\{\phi^{\dot{b}}, \phi^t\right\}, \qquad \phi^{\dot{a}} = \left\{\phi_a^{x_s}; \phi^a_{\pi_s}\right\},\ \phi^\beta = \left\{\phi_a^v\right\}.$$

Since $\left\{\phi^{\dot{b}}, \phi_a^v\right\} = 0$, as it can be verified in the matrix M^{AB}, it follows

$$\left\{\phi^\beta, \phi^t\right\} = 0 \Rightarrow \left\{\phi_b^v, \phi^t\right\} = -\frac{\partial \phi^t}{\partial v^b} = \frac{\partial H^v}{\partial v^b} = 0 \Rightarrow$$
$$\Rightarrow \pi_a^{N_a} - \frac{\partial L^v}{\partial v^a} = 0. \tag{11}$$

Notice that these conditions stablish some of the Lagrange multipliers.

The next step is to stablish the Generalized Brackets, which allows one to evaluate the total differential of any function $E = E\left(x_s^a, v^a, \pi_a^s\right)$. For this it is necessary to know the following quantities $\left\{\phi^{\dot{a}}, \phi^\beta\right\}$ and $\left\{\phi^{\dot{a}}, \phi^t\right\}$. As mentioned before, the former are null and only the last must be specified. First it mus be noticed that, for any function $E = E\left(x_s^a, v^a, \pi_a^s\right)$, one has:

$$\left\{\phi_a^{x_s}, E\right\} = -\frac{\partial E}{\partial x_s^a},$$
$$\left\{\phi^a_{\pi_s}, E\right\} = -\frac{\partial E}{\partial \pi_a^s}.$$

For $E = \phi^t$ it follows

$$\left\{\phi^{\dot{a}}, \phi^t\right\} \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left\{\phi_a^{x_s}, \phi^t\right\} = -\frac{\partial \phi^t}{\partial x_s^{a\,a}} \\ \left\{\phi_{\pi_s}^a, \phi^t\right\} = -\frac{\partial \phi^t}{\partial \pi_a^s} \end{array} \right. .$$

This way

$$dE = \left\{E, \phi^{\beta'}\right\}_* dt_{\beta'} = \left\{E, \phi^t\right\}_* dt + \left\{E, \phi_a^v\right\}_* dv^a,$$

$$\begin{aligned} dE = &\left\{E, \phi^t\right\} dt - \left\{E, \phi^{\dot{a}}\right\} M_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{-1} \left\{\phi^{\dot{b}}, \phi^t\right\} dt + \\ &+ \left\{E, \phi_a^v\right\} dv^a - \left\{E, \phi^{\dot{a}}\right\} M_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{-1} \left\{\phi^{\dot{b}}, \phi_a^v\right\} dv^a \end{aligned}$$

$$dE = \frac{\partial E}{\partial t} dt + \frac{\partial E}{\partial v^a} dv^a + \left[\frac{\partial E}{\partial x_s^c}\frac{\partial \phi^t}{\partial \pi_c^s} - \frac{\partial \phi^t}{\partial x_s^c}\frac{\partial E}{\partial \pi_c^s}\right] dt. \tag{12}$$

Now the equations of motion can be obtained

$$dt_{\dot{a}} = \frac{\partial t_{\dot{a}}}{\partial t} dt + \frac{\partial t_{\dot{a}}}{\partial v^a} dv^a + \left[\frac{\partial t_{\dot{a}}}{\partial x_s^c}\frac{\partial \phi^t}{\partial \pi_c^s} - \frac{\partial \phi^t}{\partial x_s^c}\frac{\partial t_{\dot{a}}}{\partial \pi_c^s}\right] dt \Rightarrow dx_s^a = \frac{\partial H^v}{\partial \pi_a^s} dt \tag{13}$$

$$d\pi_a^s = -\frac{\partial \phi^t}{\partial x_s^a} dt = -\frac{\partial H^v}{\partial x_s^a} dt \Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d\pi_a^s = -\left(\pi_a^{s-1} - \frac{\partial L^v}{\partial x_s^a}\right) dt, \quad s = 2, ..., N_a, \\ d\pi_a^1 = \frac{\partial L^v}{\partial x_1^a} dt. \end{array} \right. \tag{14}$$

Equations (13) are consistent with (8), while from (14) it can be seen that the other Lagrange multipliers are determined:

$$\pi_a^{s-1} = -\dot{\pi}_a^s + \frac{\partial L^v}{\partial x_s^a}, \quad s = 2, ..., N_a.$$

4 Degenerate Coordinates Approach

Also with the intention to study the HJ approach for systems with degenerate coordinates, one can start following the proposal made by Gitman and Tyutin in reference [13], in which a first order Lagrangian is proposed.

Let one consider a Lagrangian

$$L = L\left(q^a, q^{(l_a)}, t\right),$$

where

$$q^{(l_a)} \equiv \frac{d^{l_a}}{dt^{l_a}} q^a, \qquad (a = 1, ..., n; l_a = 1, ..., N_a).$$

The coordinates q^a will be separated in two sets, $q^{\bar{a}}$ and $q^{\tilde{a}}$, where $q^{\bar{a}}$ are coordinates whose derivatives are not present in the Lagrangian, *i.e.* degenerate

coordinates, and $q^{\tilde{a}}$ are those whose derivatives of order $N_{\tilde{a}}$ are manifest in L, *i.e.*

$$a = (\bar{a}, \tilde{a}), \quad N_{\bar{a}} = 0;\ N_{\tilde{a}} \geq 1.$$

One then defines new coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} v^{\bar{a}} &\equiv q^{\bar{a}}, \\ x_1^{\tilde{a}} &\equiv q^{\tilde{a}}, \\ x_s^{\tilde{a}} &\equiv q^{\tilde{a}(s-1)}, \ \ s = 2, ..., N_{\tilde{a}}, \\ v^{\tilde{a}} &\equiv q^{\tilde{a}(N_{\tilde{a}})}, \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

from where the following relations are identified:

$$\begin{aligned} x_{s+1}^{\tilde{a}} = \dot{x}_s^{\tilde{a}} \Rightarrow \dot{x}_s^{\tilde{a}} - x_{s+1}^{\tilde{a}} = 0, \quad s = 1, ..., N_{\tilde{a}} - 1, \\ v^{\tilde{a}} = \dot{x}_{N_{\tilde{a}}}^{\tilde{a}} \Rightarrow \dot{x}_{N_{\tilde{a}}}^{\tilde{a}} - v^{\tilde{a}} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

With these new coordinates L becomes

$$L^v \equiv L\left(q^{\bar{a}} = v^{\bar{a}}, q^{\tilde{a}(s-1)} = x_s^{\tilde{a}}, q^{\tilde{a}(N_{\tilde{a}})} = v^{\tilde{a}}, t\right) = L^v\left(x_s^{\tilde{a}}, v^a, t\right).$$

From this expression it is possible to define a new Lagrangian, $\bar{L}^v$, in an extended space with coordinates $\left\{x_s^{\tilde{a}}, v^a, \pi_{\tilde{a}}^s \ \ (s = 1, ..., N_{\tilde{a}})\right\}$, which differs from L^v by the presence of Lagrange multipliers, $\pi_{\tilde{a}}^s$:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{L}^v = \bar{L}^v\left(x_s^{\tilde{a}}, v^a, t; \pi_{\tilde{a}}^s\right) \equiv L^v\left(x_s^{\tilde{a}}, v^a, t\right) + \\ + \sum_{\tilde{a}} \sum_{s=1}^{N_{\tilde{a}}-1} \pi_{\tilde{a}}^s\left(\dot{x}_s^{\tilde{a}} - x_{s+1}^{\tilde{a}}\right) + \sum \pi_{\tilde{a}}^{N_{\tilde{a}}}\left(\dot{x}_{N_{\tilde{a}}}^{\tilde{a}} - v^{\tilde{a}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

$\bar{L}^v$ is a first order Lagrangian, what becomes clearer when it is rewritten as $L = \dot{z}_A K^A - V(z_A)$, where $z_A = \left(x_s^{\tilde{a}}, v^a; \pi_{\tilde{a}}^s\right),\ s = 1, ..., N_{\tilde{a}}$:

$$\bar{L}^v = \sum_{\tilde{a}} \sum_{s=1}^{N_{\tilde{a}}-1} \pi_{\tilde{a}}^s \dot{x}_s^{\tilde{a}} + \sum \pi_{\tilde{a}}^{N_{\tilde{a}}} \dot{x}_{N_{\tilde{a}}}^{\tilde{a}} - H^v\left(x_s^{\tilde{a}}, v^a, t; \pi_{\tilde{a}}^s\right), \tag{17}$$

$$H^v\left(x_s^{\tilde{a}}, v^a, t; \pi_{\tilde{a}}^s\right) \equiv \sum_{\tilde{a}} \sum_{s=1}^{N_{\tilde{a}}-1} \pi_{\tilde{a}}^s x_{s+1}^{\tilde{a}} + \sum \pi_{\tilde{a}}^{N_{\tilde{a}}} v^{\tilde{a}} - L^v\left(x_s^{\tilde{a}}, v^a, t\right). \tag{18}$$

The procedure of reference [25] now can be applied.

It is important to notice that the main difference between the standard approach and this one lies on the definition of the velocities of the degenerate coordinates as the coordinates themselves in this last development. When no degenerate coordinates exist, both approaches coincide; when they have presence in L, the definitions of the velocities of degenerate coordinates are different and one can verify that the space of the first approach is larger than the space of the second.

4.1 HJ first order approach

The first step is to identify the functions K^A and the constraints. It follows

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c} K_a^v = 0 \\ K_{\tilde{a}}^{x_s} = \pi_{\tilde{a}}^s \\ K_{\pi_s}^{\tilde{a}} = 0 \end{array}\right. \Rightarrow \left\{\begin{array}{c} \phi_a^v = p_a^v = 0 \\ \phi_{\tilde{a}}^{x_s} = p_{\tilde{a}}^{x_s} - \pi_{\tilde{a}}^s = 0 \\ \phi_{\pi_s}^{\tilde{a}} = p_{\pi_s}^{\tilde{a}} = 0 \end{array}\right. , \; s = 1, ..., N_{\tilde{a}},$$
$$\phi^t = p^0 + H^v = 0.$$

The next step is to compute the matrix M^{AB}:

$$M^{AB} = \frac{\partial K^B}{\partial z_A} - \frac{\partial K^A}{\partial z_B},$$

$$\left(M^{AB}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \frac{\partial K_{\tilde{b}}^{x_s}}{\partial v^a} - \frac{\partial K_a^v}{\partial x_s^b} & \frac{\partial K_{\pi_{s'}}^{\tilde{a}}}{\partial v^a} - \frac{\partial K_a^v}{\partial \pi_{\tilde{a}}^{s'}} \\ \frac{\partial K_a^v}{\partial x_s^b} - \frac{\partial K_{\tilde{b}}^{x_s}}{\partial v^a} & 0 & \frac{\partial K_{\pi_{s'}}^{\tilde{a}}}{\partial x_s^b} - \frac{\partial K_{\tilde{b}}^{x_s}}{\partial \pi_{\tilde{a}}^{s'}} \\ \frac{\partial K_a^v}{\partial \pi_{\tilde{a}}^s} - \frac{\partial K_{\pi_s}^{\tilde{a}}}{\partial v^a} & \frac{\partial K_{\tilde{b}}^{x_s}}{\partial \pi_{\tilde{a}}^{s'}} - \frac{\partial K_{\pi_{s'}}^{\tilde{a}}}{\partial x_s^b} & 0 \end{array} \right) =$$
$$= \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\delta_{s'}^s \delta_{\tilde{b}}^{\tilde{a}} \\ 0 & \delta_{s'}^s \delta_{\tilde{b}}^{\tilde{a}} & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

This matrix is singular with an inversible submatrix $M^{\dot{a}\dot{b}}$:

$$\left(M^{\dot{a}\dot{b}}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & -\delta_{s'}^s \delta_{\tilde{b}}^{\tilde{a}} \\ \delta_{s'}^s \delta_{\tilde{b}}^{\tilde{a}} & 0 \end{array} \right),$$

$$\left(M_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{-1}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & \delta_{s'}^s \delta_{\tilde{b}}^{\tilde{a}} \\ -\delta_{s'}^s \delta_{\tilde{b}}^{\tilde{a}} & 0 \end{array} \right),$$

which shows that the coordinates are separated in two sets:

$$t_{\dot{a}} = \left\{x_s^{\tilde{a}}; \pi_{\tilde{a}}^s\right\},$$
$$t_\beta = \left\{v^a\right\}.$$

The integrability conditions must be determined:

$$\left\{\phi^\beta, \phi^t\right\} = \left\{\phi^\beta, \phi^{\dot{a}}\right\} M_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{-1} \left\{\phi^{\dot{b}}, \phi^t\right\}.$$

Since $\left\{\phi^{\dot{b}}, \phi_a^v\right\} = 0$, it follows

$$\left\{\phi^\beta, \phi^t\right\} = 0 \Rightarrow \left\{\phi_b^v, \phi^t\right\} = \frac{\partial H^v}{\partial v^b} = 0 \Rightarrow \left\{\begin{array}{c} \pi_{\tilde{a}}^{N_{\tilde{a}}} - \frac{\partial L^v}{\partial v^{\tilde{a}}} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial L^v}{\partial v^{\tilde{a}}} = 0 \end{array}\right. . \qquad (19)$$

With these conditions some of the Lagrange multipliers are fixed.

Now the Generalized Brackets can be constructed, and for this, $\left\{\phi^{\dot{a}}, \phi^t\right\}$ must be determined:

$$\left\{\phi^{\dot{a}}, \phi^t\right\} \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left\{\phi_{\tilde{a}}^{x_s}, \phi^t\right\} = -\frac{\partial \phi^t}{\partial x_{\tilde{s}}^{\tilde{a}}} \\ \left\{\phi_{\pi_s}^{\tilde{a}}, \phi^t\right\} = -\frac{\partial \phi^t}{\partial \pi_{\tilde{a}}^{s}} \end{array} \right. .$$

The total differential of $E = E\left(x_s^{\tilde{a}}, v^a, \pi_{\tilde{a}}^s\right)$ is

$$dE = \left\{E, \phi^{\beta'}\right\}_* dt_{\beta'} = \left\{E, \phi^t\right\}_* dt + \left\{E, \phi_a^v\right\}_* dv^a,$$

Since for any pair of functions $E = E\left(x_s^{\tilde{a}}, v^a, \pi_{\tilde{a}}^s\right)$ and $F = F\left(x_s^{\tilde{a}}, v^a, \pi_{\tilde{a}}^s\right)$ one has $\{E, F\} = 0$, it follows, considering $H^v = H^v\left(x_s^{\tilde{a}}, v^a, t; \pi_{\tilde{a}}^s\right)$, that

$$dE = \frac{\partial E}{\partial t} dt + \frac{\partial E}{\partial v^a} dv^a + \left[\frac{\partial E}{\partial x_{\tilde{s}}^{\tilde{c}}} \frac{\partial \phi^t}{\partial \pi_{\tilde{c}}^s} - \frac{\partial \phi^t}{\partial x_{\tilde{s}}^{\tilde{c}}} \frac{\partial E}{\partial \pi_{\tilde{c}}^s}\right] dt. \tag{20}$$

At last the equations of motion are obtained:

$$dt_{\dot{a}} = \frac{\partial t_{\dot{a}}}{\partial t} dt + \frac{\partial t_{\dot{a}}}{\partial v^a} dv^a + \left[\frac{\partial t_{\dot{a}}}{\partial x_{\tilde{s}}^{\tilde{c}}} \frac{\partial \phi^t}{\partial \pi_{\tilde{c}}^s} - \frac{\partial \phi^t}{\partial x_{\tilde{s}}^{\tilde{c}}} \frac{\partial t_{\dot{a}}}{\partial \pi_{\tilde{c}}^s}\right] dt.$$

$$dx_s^{\tilde{a}} = \frac{\partial \phi^t}{\partial \pi_{\tilde{a}}^s} dt = \frac{\partial H^v}{\partial \pi_{\tilde{a}}^s} dt, \tag{21}$$

$$d\pi_{\tilde{a}}^s = -\frac{\partial \phi^t}{\partial x_s^{\tilde{a}}} dt = -\frac{\partial H^v}{\partial x_s^{\tilde{a}}} dt \Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d\pi_{\tilde{a}}^s = -\left(\pi_{\tilde{a}}^{s-1} - \frac{\partial L^v}{\partial x_s^{\tilde{a}}}\right) dt, \quad s = 2, ..., N_{\tilde{a}}, \\ d\pi_{\tilde{a}}^1 = \frac{\partial L^v}{\partial x_1^{\tilde{a}}} dt. \end{array} \right. \tag{22}$$

One observes that the remaining Lagrange multipliers (those not determined by integrability conditions) are now fixed by the equations of motion:

$$\pi_{\tilde{a}}^{s-1} = -\dot{\pi}_{\tilde{a}}^s + \frac{\partial L^v}{\partial x_s^{\tilde{a}}}, \quad s = 2, ..., N_{\tilde{a}}. \tag{23}$$

5 Examples

5.1 Podolsky Electrodynamics

As it can be observed, when no degenerate coordinates exist, both approaches coincide. As a first example it will be considered the case of Podolsky Electrodynamics, which is a theory with second derivatives and no degenerate coordinates. The intention is to show that the results obtained with the first order approach is consistent with the results obtained by the standard HJ approach for systems with higher order derivatives [22] and those obtained with Dirac's approach [23]. Podolsky Electrodynamics Lagrangian is given by:

$$L = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + a^2 \partial_\rho F^{\mu\rho} \partial_\sigma F_{\mu}^{\cdot\sigma}, \tag{24}$$

where $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$. L can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} L = & -\frac{1}{4}\left[2\eta^{00}\eta^{ij}\left(\partial_0 A_i - \partial_i A_0\right)\left(\partial_0 A_j - \partial_j A_0\right) + F_{ij}F^{ij}\right] + \\ & + a^2\left[\eta^{ij}\eta^{00}\eta^{00}\left(\partial_j\partial_0 A_0 - \partial_0\partial_0 A_j\right)\left(\partial_i\partial_0 A_0 - \partial_0\partial_0 A_i\right) + \right. \\ & + \eta^{ik}\eta^{00}\eta^{jm}\left(\partial_i\partial_0 A_k - \partial_i\partial_k A_0\right)\left(\partial_j\partial_0 A_m - \partial_j\partial_m A_0\right) + \\ & + 2\eta^{ik}\eta^{00}\eta^{jm}\left(\partial_k\partial_0 A_0 - \partial_0\partial_0 A_k\right)\partial_j F_{im} + \\ & \left. + \eta^{jl}\eta^{im}\eta^{kn}\partial_i F_{lm}\partial_k F_{jn}\right)\Big], \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

which shows explicitly the time derivatives of A_ν. It is immediate to identify that $L = L\left(A_0, A_i, \partial_0 A_0, \partial_0 A_i, \partial_0^2 A_i\right)$, *i.e* .$N_{A_0} = 1, N_{A_i} = 2$. Introducing new variables

$$\begin{aligned} & x_0^{(1)} \equiv A_0, \qquad v_0 \equiv \partial_0 A_0 = \partial_0 x_0^{(1)}, \\ & x_i^{(1)} \equiv A_i, \\ & x_i^{(2)} \equiv \partial_0 A_i = \partial_0 x_i^{(1)}, \qquad v_i \equiv \partial_0\partial_0 A_i = \partial_0 x_i^{(2)}, \end{aligned}$$

and defining

$$F_{ij}^{x_1} \equiv \partial_i x_j^{(1)} - \partial_j x_i^{(1)},$$

one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} L^v = L|_{A=x,\partial A=x,\partial^2 A=v} = & -\frac{1}{4}\left[2\eta^{00}\eta^{ij}\left(x_i^{(2)} - \partial_i x_0^{(1)}\right)\left(x_j^{(2)} - \partial_j x_0^{(1)}\right) + F_{ij}^{x_1}F_{x_1}^{ij}\right] + \\ & + a^2\left[\eta^{ij}\eta^{00}\eta^{00}\left(\partial_j v_0 - v_j\right)\left(\partial_i v_0 - v_i\right) + \right. \\ & + \eta^{ik}\eta^{00}\eta^{jm}\left(\partial_i x_k^{(2)} - \partial_i\partial_k x_0^{(1)}\right)\left(\partial_j x_m^{(2)} - \partial_j\partial_m x_0^{(1)}\right) + \\ & + 2\eta^{ik}\eta^{00}\eta^{jm}\left(\partial_k v_0 - v_k\right)\partial_j F_{im}^{x_1} + \\ & \left. + \eta^{jl}\eta^{im}\eta^{kn}\partial_i F_{lm}^{x_1}\partial_k F_{jn}^{x_1}\right)\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

A new Lagrangian in an extended space can be constructed where Lagrange multipliers are introduced

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{L}^v &= L^v + \pi_{(1)}^k\left(\partial_0 x_k^{(1)} - x_k^{(2)}\right) + \pi_{(2)}^k\left(\partial_0 x_k^{(2)} - v_k\right) + \pi_{(1)}^0\left(\partial_0 x_0^{(1)} - v_0\right) \\ &= \pi_{(1)}^k\partial_0 x_k^{(1)} + \pi_{(2)}^k\partial_0 x_k^{(2)} + \pi_{(1)}^0\partial_0 x_0^{(1)} - H^v, \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

$$H^v = \pi_{(1)}^k x_k^{(2)} + \pi_{(2)}^k v_k + \pi_{(1)}^0 v_0 - L^v,$$

so that the configuration space now has "coordinates" $Z_A = \{x_0^{(1)},\, x_k^{(1)},\, x_k^{(2)},\, v_0,\, v_k,\, \pi_{(1)}^0,\, \pi_{(1)}^k,\, \pi_{(2)}^k\}$, which are separated in the sets

$$\begin{aligned} & t_b = \left\{x_0^{(1)}, x_k^{(1)}, x_k^{(2)}, \pi_{(1)}^0, \pi_{(1)}^k, \pi_{(2)}^k\right\}, \\ & t_\beta = \left\{v_0, v_k\right\}, \end{aligned}$$

with the following set of constraints

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi^0_{(1)} &= p^0_{(1)} - \pi^0_{(1)} = 0, & \phi^k_{(1)} &= p^k_{(1)} - \pi^k_{(1)} = 0, \\
\phi^k_{(2)} &= p^k_{(2)} - \pi^k_{(2)} = 0, & \phi^0_v &= p^0_v = 0, \\
\phi^k_v &= p^k_v = 0, & \phi^{\pi_{(1)}}_0 &= p^{\pi_{(1)}}_0 = 0, \\
\phi^{\pi_{(1)}}_k &= p^{\pi_{(1)}}_k = 0, & \phi^{\pi_{(2)}}_k &= p^{\pi_{(2)}}_k = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\phi^t = p^0 + H^v = 0.$$

The integrability conditions must be evaluated. The first one gives

$$\frac{\delta H^v(y)}{\delta v_0(x)} = 0 \Rightarrow \pi^0_{(1)} = -2a^2\eta^{00}\eta^{ik}\partial_k\left[\eta^{00}\left(\partial_i v_0 - v_i\right) + \eta^{jm}\partial_j F^{x_1}_{im}\right].$$

The other conditions are

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi^k_{(1)}\delta^n_k\delta(y-x) - \frac{\delta L^v(y)}{\delta v_n(x)} = 0 \Rightarrow \\
\Rightarrow \pi^n_{(1)} = -2a^2\eta^{00}\eta^{ni}\left[\eta^{00}\left(\partial_i v_0 - v_i\right) + \eta^{jm}\partial_j F^{x_1}_{im}\right].
\end{aligned}$$

At this point one observation must be made. From this last expression one can see that v_i can be written as a function of $\pi^n_{(1)}$,v_0 and $F^{x_1}_{im}$:

$$v_i = \frac{1}{2a^2}\eta_{ni}\pi^n_{(1)} + \eta_{00}\eta^{jm}\partial_j F^{x_1}_{im} + \partial_i v_0.$$

The same cannot be said about v_0, which remains undetermined. When one substitutes this result in $\pi^0_{(1)}$ it follows

$$\pi^0_{(1)} = \partial_k \pi^k_{(1)}.$$

The Generalized Brackets can be evaluated when the following brackets are calculated

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\{\phi^0_{(1)}(x), \phi^t(y)\right\} &= -\int dz \frac{\delta H^v(y)}{\delta x^{(1)}_0(z)}\delta(z-x) = \\
&= \eta^{00}\eta^{ij}\left(x^{(2)}_j - \partial_j x^{(1)}_0\right)\partial^y_i\delta(y-x) + \\
&- 2a^2\eta^{00}\eta^{ik}\eta^{jm}\left(\partial_j x^{(2)}_m - \partial_j\partial_m x^{(1)}_0\right)\partial^y_i\partial^y_k\delta(y-x);
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\{\phi^n_{(1)}(x), \phi^t(y)\right\} &= -\eta^{ik}\eta^{jn}F^{x_1}_{ij}\partial^y_k\delta(y-x) + \\
&- 2a^2\left[\eta^{nk}\eta^{ji} - \eta^{ik}\eta^{jn}\right]\eta^{00}\left(\partial_k v_0 - v_k\right)\partial^y_j\partial^y_i\delta(y-x) + \\
&- 2a^2\left[\eta^{jn}\eta^{im} - \eta^{jm}\eta^{in}\right]\eta^{kp}\partial_k F^{x_1}_{jp}\partial^y_i\partial^y_m\delta(y-x);
\end{aligned}$$

$$\left\{\phi^n_{(2)}(x),\phi^t(y)\right\} = -\pi^n_{(1)}\delta(y-x) - \eta^{00}\eta^{in}\left(x^{(2)}_i - \partial_i x^{(1)}_0\right)\delta(y-x) + \\ + 2a^2\eta^{ik}\eta^{00}\eta^{jn}\left(\partial_i x^{(2)}_k - \partial_i\partial_k x^{(1)}_0\right)\partial^y_j\delta(y-x);$$

$$\left\{\phi^{\pi_{(1)}}_0(x),\phi^t(y)\right\} = -\frac{\delta H^v(y)}{\delta\pi^0_{(1)}(x)} = -v_0\delta(y-x);$$

$$\left\{\phi^{\pi_{(1)}}_n(x),\phi^t(y)\right\} = -\frac{\delta H^v(y)}{\delta\pi^n_{(1)}(x)} = -x^{(2)}_n\delta(y-x);$$

$$\left\{\phi^{\pi_{(2)}}_n(x),\phi^t(y)\right\} = -\frac{\delta H^v(y)}{\delta\pi^n_{(2)}(x)} = -v_n\delta(y-x).$$

The differential of any function E on this extended space is

$$\begin{aligned} dE = {} & \frac{\partial E}{\partial t}dt + \{E,H^v\}dt + \left\{E,\phi^0_v\right\}dv_0 + \left\{E,\phi^k_v\right\}dv_k + \\ & + \int dw\left(\left\{E,\phi^0_{(1)}(w)\right\}v_0 + \left\{E,\phi^k_{(1)}(w)\right\}x^{(2)}_k + \left\{E,\phi^k_{(2)}(w)\right\}v_k + \right. \\ & - \left\{E,\phi^{\pi_{(1)}}_0(w)\right\}\eta^{00}\eta^{ij}\partial^w_i\left(x^{(2)}_j - \partial_j x^{(1)}_0\right) + \\ & - \left\{E,\phi^{\pi_{(1)}}_0(w)\right\}2a^2\eta^{00}\eta^{ik}\eta^{jm}\partial^w_i\partial^w_k\left(\partial_j x^{(2)}_m - \partial_j\partial_m x^{(1)}_0\right) + \\ & + \left\{E,\phi^{\pi_{(1)}}_n(w)\right\}\eta^{ik}\eta^{jn}\partial^w_k F^{x_1}_{ij} + \\ & - \left\{E,\phi^{\pi_{(1)}}_n(y)\right\}2a^2\left[\eta^{nk}\eta^{ji} - \eta^{ik}\eta^{jn}\right]\eta^{00}\partial^w_j\partial^w_i\left(\partial_k v_0 - v_k\right) + \\ & - \left\{E,\phi^{\pi_{(1)}}_n(w)\right\}2a^2\left[\eta^{jn}\eta^{im} - \eta^{jm}\eta^{in}\right]\eta^{kp}\partial^w_i\partial^w_m\partial_k F^{x_1}_{jp} + \\ & - \left\{E,\phi^{\pi_{(2)}}_n(w)\right\}\pi^n_{(1)} - \left\{E,\phi^{\pi_{(2)}}_n(w)\right\}\eta^{00}\eta^{in}\left(x^{(2)}_i - \partial_i x^{(1)}_0\right) + \\ & \left. - \left\{E,\phi^{\pi_{(2)}}_n(w)\right\}2a^2\eta^{ik}\eta^{00}\eta^{jn}\partial^w_j\left(\partial_i x^{(2)}_k - \partial_i\partial_k x^{(1)}_0\right)\right)dt(w). \end{aligned}$$

To obtain the equations of motion, it is necessary to consider $E = \{x^{(1)}_0, x^{(1)}_k, x^{(2)}_k, \pi^0_{(1)}, \pi^k_{(1)}, \pi^k_{(2)}\}$:

$$dx^{(1)}_0 = v_0(z)\,dt; \tag{28}$$

$$dx^{(1)}_p = x^{(2)}_p dt; \tag{29}$$

$$dx^{(2)}_p = v_p dt = \left[\frac{1}{2a^2}\eta_{np}\pi^n_{(1)} + \eta_{00}\eta^{jm}\partial_j F^{x_1}_{pm} + \partial_p v_0\right]dt; \tag{30}$$

$$d\pi^0_{(1)} = -\left[\eta^{00}\eta^{ij}\partial_i\left(x^{(2)}_j - \partial_j x^{(1)}_0\right) + 2a^2\eta^{00}\eta^{ik}\eta^{jm}\partial_i\partial_k\left(\partial_j x^{(2)}_m - \partial_j\partial_m x^{(1)}_0\right)\right]dt; \tag{31}$$

$$d\pi^p_{(1)} = \left(\eta^{ik}\eta^{jp}\partial_k F^{x_1}_{ij} + \eta_{00}\left[\eta^{ij}\partial_i\partial_j\pi^p_{(1)} - \eta^{ip}\partial_i\pi^0_{(1)}\right]\right)dt; \tag{32}$$

$$d\pi^p_{(2)} = -\left(\pi^p_{(1)} + \eta^{00}\eta^{ip}\left(x^{(2)}_i - \partial_i x^{(1)}_0\right) + \right.$$
$$\left. +2a^2\eta^{ik}\eta^{00}\eta^{jp}\partial_j\left(\partial_i x^{(2)}_k - \partial_i\partial_k x^{(1)}_0\right)\right) dt.$$

All the results obtained here are in accordance with those obtained in [22] and [23], showing the consistency of the construction made in this work with the non first order HJ approach and with Dirac's procedure.

5.2 Proca Model

The next examples will be used to compare the two approaches introduced in this work.

Let us now consider the case of Proca Model, whose Lagrangian is

$$L = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{f}{2}m^2 A_\mu A^\mu,$$

$$L = -\frac{1}{4}\left[2\eta^{00}\eta^{ij}\left(\partial_0 A_i - \partial_i A_0\right)\left(\partial_0 A_j - \partial_j A_0\right) + \eta^{ik}\eta^{jn}F_{ij}F_{kn}\right] +$$
$$+ \eta^{00}\frac{f}{2}m^2 A_0 A_0 + \eta^{ij}\frac{f}{2}m^2 A_i A_j \tag{33}$$

where $f = \pm 1$ according the convention of the metric, and $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$.

5.2.1 Standard approach

Introducing new variables

$$x^{(1)}_\mu \equiv A_\mu, \qquad v_\mu \equiv \partial_0 A_\mu = \partial_0 x^{(1)}_\mu,$$

and defining

$$F^{x_1}_{ij} \equiv \partial_i x^{(1)}_j - \partial_j x^{(1)}_i,$$

one finds

$$L^v = -\frac{1}{4}\left[2\eta^{00}\eta^{ij}\left(v_i - \partial_i x^{(1)}_0\right)\left(v_j - \partial_j x^{(1)}_0\right) + \eta^{ik}\eta^{jn}F^{x_1}_{ij}F^{x_1}_{kn}\right] +$$
$$+ \eta^{\mu\nu}\frac{f}{2}m^2 x^{(1)}_\mu x^{(1)}_\nu. \tag{34}$$

Now one can define a new Lagrangian $\bar{L}^v$ in an extended space with "coordinates" $z_A = \left\{x^{(1)}_\mu, v_\mu, \pi^\mu\right\}$ such that

$$\bar{L}^v = L^v + \pi^\mu\left(\partial_0 x^{(1)}_\mu - v_\mu\right) = \pi^\mu\partial_0 x^{(1)}_\mu - H^v, \tag{35}$$

$$H^v \equiv \pi^\mu v_\mu - L^v. \tag{36}$$

The constraints can be obtained:

$$\phi_x^\mu = p_x^\mu - \pi^\mu = 0, \qquad \phi_v^\mu = p_v^\mu = 0,$$
$$\phi_\mu^\pi = p_\mu^\pi = 0,$$

$$\phi^t = p^0 + H^v = 0.$$

The variables then are separated in two sets

$$t_b = \left\{ x_\mu^{(1)}; \pi^\mu \right\},$$
$$t_\beta = \left\{ v_\mu \right\},$$

and one must obtain the integrability conditions:

$$\pi^\mu \delta_\mu^\sigma \delta\left(y - x\right) - \frac{\delta L^v\left(y\right)}{\delta v_\sigma\left(x\right)} = 0,$$

$$\pi^0\left(x\right) = 0 \tag{37}$$

$$\pi^k\left(x\right) = -\eta^{00}\eta^{ik}\left(v_i\left(x\right) - \partial_i x_0^{(1)}\left(x\right)\right) \tag{38}$$

From this last expression it follows

$$v_i = \partial_i x_0^{(1)} - \eta_{00}\eta_{ki}\pi^k, \tag{39}$$

while v_0 is not determined as a function of the other variables.

The total differential of any function $E = E\left(z_A\right)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} dE = & \left\{E, \phi^t\right\} dt + \left\{E, \phi_v^\mu\right\} dv_\mu + \\ & - \int dz \int dx \int dw \left(\begin{array}{cc} \left\{E, \phi_x^\nu\left(z\right)\right\} & \left\{E, \phi_\nu^\pi\left(z\right)\right\} \end{array} \right) \delta\left(z - x\right). \\ & \cdot \left(\begin{array}{c} -v_\nu\left(w\right)\delta\left(w - x\right) \\ \eta^{mn}\eta^{l\nu}F_{ml}^{x_1}\left(w\right)\partial_n^w \delta\left(w - x\right) - fm^2\eta^{\rho\nu}x_\rho^{(1)}\left(w\right)\delta\left(w - x\right) - C^\nu \end{array} \right) dt\left(w\right), \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

where

$$C^\sigma \equiv \eta^{00}\eta^{nm}\left(v_n - \partial_n x_0^{(1)}\right)\delta_0^\sigma \partial_m^w \delta\left(w - x\right).$$

The equations of motion can be evaluated:

$$dx_i^{(1)} = \left(\partial_i x_0^{(1)} - \eta_{00}\eta_{ki}\pi^k\right) dt; \tag{41}$$

$$dx_0^{(1)} = v_0 dt; \tag{42}$$

$$d\pi^i = \left[\eta^{mn}\eta^{li}\partial_n F_{ml}^{x_1} + fm^2\eta^{mi}x_m^{(1)}\right] dt \tag{43}$$

$$d\pi^0 = \left[fm^2\eta^{00}x_0^{(1)} - \eta^{00}\eta^{nm}\partial_m\left(v_n - \partial_n x_0^{(1)}\right)\right] dt \tag{44}$$

With (38) and (37) it follows

$$d\pi^0 = \left[fm^2\eta^{00}x_0^{(1)} + \partial_m\pi^m\right]dt = 0 \Rightarrow$$
$$\Rightarrow x_0^{(1)} = -\frac{\eta_{00}}{fm^2}\partial_m\pi^m,$$

so that $x_0^{(1)}$ is written in terms of the π^m and thereafter

$$v_i = -\eta_{00}\left(\frac{1}{fm^2}\partial_i\partial_m + \eta_{mi}\right)\pi^m,$$

$$dx_i^{(1)} = -\eta_{00}\left[\frac{1}{fm^2}\partial_i\partial_m\pi^m + \eta_{mi}\pi^m\right]dt;$$
$$d\pi^i = \left[\eta^{mn}\eta^{li}\partial_n F_{ml}^{x_1} + fm^2\eta^{mi}x_m^{(1)}\right]dt.$$

5.2.2 Degenerate Coordinates Approach

The Lagrangian (33) shows that A_0 is a degenerate coordinate, *i.e.* $L = L\left(A_0, A_i, \partial_0 A_i\right)$, and $N_{A_0} = 0, N_{A_i} = 1$. Introducing new variables

$$v_0 \equiv A_0,$$
$$x_i^{(1)} \equiv A_i, \qquad v_i \equiv \partial_0 A_i = \partial_0 x_i^{(1)},$$

and defining

$$F_{ij}^{x_1} \equiv \partial_i x_j^{(1)} - \partial_j x_i^{(1)},$$

it follows

$$L^v = -\frac{1}{4}\left[2\eta^{00}\eta^{ij}\left(v_i - \partial_i v_0\right)\left(v_j - \partial_j v_0\right) + \eta^{ik}\eta^{jn}F_{ij}^{x_1}F_{kn}^{x_1}\right] +$$
$$+ \eta^{00}\frac{f}{2}m^2 v_0 v_0 + \eta^{ij}\frac{f}{2}m^2 x_i^{(1)}x_j^{(1)}. \tag{45}$$

Now the new Lagrangian $\bar{L}^v$ with Lagrange multipliers can be written down:

$$\bar{L}^v = L^v + \pi^i\left(\partial_0 x_i^{(1)} - v_i\right) = \pi^i\partial_0 x_i^{(1)} - H^v, \tag{46}$$

$$H^v \equiv \pi^i v_i - L^v. \tag{47}$$

The constraints are identified:

$$\phi_x^i = p_x^i - \pi^i = 0, \qquad \phi_v^0 = p_v^0 = 0,$$
$$\phi_v^i = p_v^i = 0, \qquad \phi_i^\pi = p_i^\pi = 0,$$

$$\phi^t = p^0 + H^v = 0,$$

and the variables are separated:

$$t_b = \left\{ x_i^{(1)}; \pi^i \right\},$$
$$t_\beta = \left\{ v_0; v_i \right\}.$$

The integrability conditions must be determined:

$$\frac{\delta L^v(y)}{\delta v_0(x)} = \eta^{00}\eta^{ij}\left(v_i - \partial_i v_0\right)\partial_j^y \delta(y-x) + \eta^{00} f m^2 v_0 \delta(y-x) = 0, \tag{48}$$

$$\pi^k(x) = -\eta^{00}\eta^{ik}\left(v_i(x) - \partial_i v_0(x)\right), \tag{49}$$

from where it is immediate to verfiy that

$$v_i = \partial_i v_0 - \eta_{00}\eta_{ki}\pi^k. \tag{50}$$

Integrating (48), one finds

$$v_0 = -\frac{\eta_{00}}{fm^2}\partial_j \pi^j, \tag{51}$$

which leads one to conclude that

$$v_i = -\eta_{00}\left(\frac{1}{fm^2}\partial_i\partial_j + \eta_{ji}\right)\pi^j. \tag{52}$$

The differential of any function $E = E(z_A)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} dE = &\left\{E, \phi^t\right\} dt + \left\{E, \phi_v^0\right\} dv_0 + \left\{E, \phi_v^i\right\} dv_i + \\ &- \int dz \int dx \int dw \left(\begin{array}{cc} \left\{E, \phi_x^j(z)\right\} & \left\{E, \phi_j^\pi(z)\right\} \end{array} \right) \delta(z-x) \cdot \\ &\cdot \left(\begin{array}{c} -v_j(w)\,\delta(w-x) \\ \eta^{mn}\eta^{lj} F_{ml}^{x_1}(w)\,\partial_n^w \delta(w-x) - fm^2\eta^{mj} x_m^{(1)}(w)\,\delta(w-x) \end{array} \right) dt(w), \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

and the equations of motion are:

$$dx_i^{(1)} = -\eta_{00}\left(\frac{1}{fm^2}\partial_i\partial_j^x + \eta_{ji}\right)\pi^j dt; \tag{54}$$

$$d\pi^i = \left[\eta^{mn}\eta^{li}\partial_n F_{ml}^{x_1} + fm^2\eta^{mi}x_m^{(1)}\right] dt. \tag{55}$$

It is interesting to notice that in the standard approach, the determination of $x_0^{(1)}$ (*i.e.* A_0) and v_i does not occur when integrability conditions are evaluated, but it can only be obtained when equations of motion are considered and when a kind of consistency condition is applied ($\pi^0 = 0 \Rightarrow d\pi^0 = 0$). In the degenerate approach, v_0 (*i.e.* A_0) and v_i are readily determined by integrability conditions, no use of equations of motion are necessary and no extra condition must be applied.

5.3 QCD

The Lagrangian of the gauge field of QCD is

$$L = -\frac{1}{4} F^a_{\mu\nu} F_a^{\mu\nu},$$

where $F^a_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A^a_\nu - \partial_\nu A^a_\mu + f_{b\ c}^{\ a} A^b_\mu A^c_\nu$. Expliciting the time derivatives one finds:

$$\begin{aligned} L = & -\frac{1}{2}\eta^{00}\eta^{ij}\left(\partial_0 A^a_i - \partial_i A^a_0 + f_{b\ c}^{\ a} A^b_0 A^c_i\right)\partial_0 A_{aj} + \\ & -\frac{1}{2}\eta^{00}\eta^{ij}\left(\partial_0 A^a_i - \partial_i A^a_0 + f_{b\ c}^{\ a} A^b_0 A^c_i\right)\left(-\partial_j A_{a0} + f_{dae} A^d_0 A^e_j\right) + F^a_{ij} F^{ij}_a. \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

5.3.1 Standard Approach

With the new variables

$$x^a_\mu \equiv A^a_\mu, \qquad v^a_\mu \equiv \partial_0 A^a_\mu = \partial_0 x^a_\mu,$$

and with the definition

$$F^{a(x)}_{ij} \equiv \partial_i x^a_j - \partial_j x^a_i + f_{d\ e}^{\ a} x^d_i x^e_j,$$

it follows

$$\begin{aligned} L^v = & -\frac{1}{4}\left[2\eta^{00}\eta^{ij}\left(v^a_i - \partial_i x^a_0 + f_{b\ c}^{\ a} x^b_0 x^c_i\right) v_{aj} + \right. \\ & \left. +2\eta^{00}\eta^{ij}\left(v^a_i - \partial_i x^a_0 + f_{b\ c}^{\ a} x^b_0 x^c_i\right)\left(-\partial_j x_{a0} + f_{dae} x^d_0 x^e_j\right) + F^{a(x)}_{ij} F^{ij}_{a(x)}\right]. \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

$$\bar{L}^v = \pi^\mu_a \partial_0 x^a_\mu - H^v, \quad (58)$$

$$H^v \equiv \pi^\mu_a v^a_\mu - L^v. \quad (59)$$

The constraints are identified

$$\begin{aligned} &\phi^\mu_{(x)a} = p^\mu_{(x)a} - \pi^\mu_a = 0, \qquad \phi^\mu_{(v)a} = p^\mu_{(v)a} = 0, \\ &\phi^{(\pi)a}_\mu = p^{(\pi)a}_\mu = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\phi^t = p^0 + H^v = 0,$$

and the variables are separated

$$\begin{aligned} t_b &= \left\{x^a_\mu; \pi^\mu_a\right\}, \\ t_\beta &= \left\{v^a_\mu\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The conditions that fix the subspace are

$$\pi^0_c \delta\left(y - x\right) = \frac{\delta L^v\left(y\right)}{\delta v^c_0\left(x\right)} = 0, \quad (60)$$

$$\pi_c^k(x) = -\eta^{00}\eta^{ik}\left(v_{ci} - \partial_i x_{c0} + f_{bcd}x_0^b x_i^d\right), \tag{61}$$

from where one obtains

$$v_{ci} = \partial_i x_{c0} - f_{bcd}x_0^b x_i^d - \eta_{00}\eta_{ik}\pi_c^k, \tag{62}$$

while v_0^c remains undetermined.

dE is given by

$$\begin{aligned} dE = &\left\{E, \phi^t\right\} dt + \left\{E, \phi^\mu_{(v)a}\right\} dv^a_\mu + \\ &- \int dz \int dy \int dw \left(\begin{array}{cc} \left\{E, \phi^\mu_{(x)a}(z)\right\} & \left\{E, \phi^{(\pi)a}_\mu(z)\right\} \end{array} \right). \\ &\cdot \left(\begin{array}{c} -v^a_\mu(w)\,\delta(w-y) \\ -\left(C^\mu_a(w-y) + B^\mu_a(w-y)\right) \end{array} \right) \delta(z-y)\, dt(w), \end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C^\mu_a \equiv &-\eta^{00}\eta^{ij}\left(v_{ei} - \partial^w_i x_{e0} + f_{def}x_0^d x_i^f\right). \\ &.\left(-\delta^e_a\delta^\mu_0\partial^w_j\delta(w-y) + f_a{}^e{}_c\delta^\mu_0\delta(w-y)x^c_j + f_b{}^e{}_a x^b_0\delta^\mu_j\delta(w-y)\right), \\ B^\mu_a \equiv &-\frac{1}{2}F^{ij}_{e(x)}\left(\delta^e_a\delta^\mu_j\partial^w_i\delta(w-y) - \delta^e_a\delta^\mu_i\partial^w_j\delta(w-y) + \right. \\ &\left. + f_a{}^e{}_c\delta^\mu_i\delta(w-y)x^c_j + f_d{}^e{}_a x^d_i\delta^\mu_j\delta(w-y)\right). \end{aligned}$$

The equations of motion are obtained:

$$dx^g_\rho(u) = v^g_\rho(u)\, dt,$$

$$dx^g_i = \left(\partial_i x^g_0 - f_b{}^g{}_d x^b_0 x^d_i - \eta_{00}\eta_{ik}\pi^{gk}\right) dt, \tag{64}$$

$$dx^g_0 = v^g_0 dt; \tag{65}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d\pi^\mu_a(u) = &-\eta^{00}\eta^{ij}\left[\delta^\mu_0 D^e_{ja}\left(v_{ei} - \partial_i x_{e0} + f_{def}x^d_0 x^f_i\right) + \right. \\ &\left. + \delta^\mu_j f_b{}^e{}_a x^b_0\left(v_{ei} - \partial_i x_{e0} + f_{def}x^d_0 x^f_i\right)\right](u)\, dt(u) + \\ &+ \delta^\mu_j D^e_{ia}F^{ij}_{e(x)}(u)\, dt(u), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$D^e_{ia}F^{ij}_{e(x)} = \delta^e_a\partial_i F^{ij}_{e(x)} + f_a{}^e{}_c x^c_i F^{ij}_{e(x)},$$

which leads to

$$d\pi^0_a = -\eta^{00}\eta^{ij}D^e_{ja}\left(v_{ei} - \partial^w_i x_{e0} + f_{def}x^d_0 x^f_i\right) dt, \tag{66}$$

$$d\pi^k_a(u) = -\eta^{00}\eta^{ik}f_b{}^e{}_a x^b_0\left(v_{ei} - \partial^w_i x_{e0} + f_{def}x^d_0 x^f_i\right)(u)\, dt + D^e_{ia}F^{ik}_{e(x)}dt. \tag{67}$$

With conditions (61) and (60) it follows

$$d\pi_a^k(u) = f_{b\ a}^{\ e} x_0^b \pi_e^k dt + D_{ia}^e F_{e(x)}^{ik} dt,$$

$$d\pi_a^0 = D_{ja}^e \pi_e^j dt = 0 \Rightarrow D_{ja}^e \pi_e^j = 0.$$

This last result is the non-abelian generalization of the Gauss law and it arises only when one considers $d\pi_a^0 = 0$.

5.3.2 Degenerate Coordinates Approach

Since $L = L(A_0^a, A_i^a, \partial_0 A_i^a)$, *i.e.* $N_{A_0^a} = 0, N_{A_i^a} = 1$, the new variables will be

$$\begin{aligned} v_0^a &\equiv A_0^a, \\ x_i^a &\equiv A_i^a, \qquad v_i^a \equiv \partial_0 A_i^a = \partial_0 x_i^a. \end{aligned}$$

With

$$F_{ij}^{a(x)} \equiv \partial_i x_j^a - \partial_j x_i^a + f_{dae} x_i^d x_j^e,$$

it follows

$$\begin{aligned} L^v = -\frac{1}{4}\Big[&2\eta^{00}\eta^{ij} v_i^a v_{aj} + 4\eta^{00}\eta^{ij} v_i^a \left(-\partial_j v_{a0} + f_{dae} v_0^d x_j^e\right) + \\ &+2\eta^{00}\eta^{ij}\left[-\partial_i v_0^a + f_{b\ c}^{\ a} v_0^b x_i^c\right]\left[-\partial_j v_{a0} + f_{dae} v_0^d x_j^e\right] + F_{ij}^{a(x)} F_{a(x)}^{ij}\Big], \end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

$$\bar{L}^v = \pi_a^i \partial_0 x_i^a - H^v, \tag{69}$$

$$H^v \equiv \pi_a^i v_i^a - L^v, \tag{70}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{a(x)}^i &= p_{a(x)}^i - \pi_a^i = 0, \qquad & \phi_{a(v)}^0 &= p_{a(v)}^0 = 0, \\ \phi_{a(v)}^i &= p_{a(v)}^i = 0, \qquad & \phi_i^{a(\pi)} &= p_i^{a(\pi)} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\phi^t = p^0 + H^v = 0.$$

One has

$$\begin{aligned} t_{b_x} &= \left\{x_i^a; \pi_a^i\right\}, \\ t_{\beta_x} &= \left\{v_0^a; v_i^a\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The integrability conditions are

$$\begin{aligned} &\eta^{00}\eta^{ij}\partial_j\partial_i v_{g0} - \eta^{00}\eta^{ij}\partial_j v_{gi} - \eta^{00}\eta^{ij} f_{gae} v_i^a x_j^e + \eta^{00}\eta^{ij} f_{gac} x_j^c \partial_i v_0^a + \\ &-\eta^{00}\eta^{ij} f_{agc} x_j^c \partial_i v_0^a - \eta^{00}\eta^{ij} f_{agc} v_0^a \partial_i x_j^c - \eta^{00}\eta^{ij} f_{g\ c}^{\ a} f_{bae} v_0^b x_j^e x_i^c = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

$$\pi_g^k(x) = -\eta^{00} v_g^k + \eta^{00}\partial^k v_{g0} - \eta^{00} f_{dge} v_0^d x^{ek}. \tag{72}$$

From this last result one finds

$$v_g^k = -\eta_{00}\pi_g^k + \partial^k v_{g0} - f_{dge} v_0^d x^{ek}, \tag{73}$$

which, with the previous condition, leads to

$$\eta^{ij} D_{jg}^e \pi_{ei} = \eta^{ij}\partial_j \pi_{gi} + \eta^{ij} f_g{}^a{}_e x_j^e \pi_{ai} = 0. \tag{74}$$

dE can be constructed,

$$\begin{aligned} dE = &\left\{E, \phi^t\right\} dt + \left\{E, \phi^0_{g(v)}\right\} dv_0^g + \left\{E, \phi^i_{g(v)}\right\} dv_i^g + \\ &- \int dx \int dw \left(\left\{E, \phi^i_{g(x)}(x)\right\} \quad \left\{E, \phi_i^{g(\pi)}(x)\right\} \right). \\ &\cdot \begin{pmatrix} -v_i^g(w)\,\delta(w-x) \\ -\frac{\delta L^v(w)}{\delta x_i^g(x)} \end{pmatrix} dt(w), \end{aligned} \tag{75}$$

and the equations of motion are stablished:

$$dx_g^k = \left(-\eta_{00}\pi_g^k + \partial^k v_{g0} - f_{dge} v_0^d x^{ek}\right) dt, \tag{76}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d\pi_g^i(y) = &\Big[\partial_k F^{ki}_{g(x)} - F^{ik}_{a(x)} f_g{}^a{}_b x_k^b + \\ &- \eta^{00}\eta^{ki} f_{dag} v_k^a v_0^d + \eta^{00}\eta^{ki} f_{bag} v_0^b \partial_k v_0^a + \\ &- \eta^{00}\eta^{ij} f_b{}^a{}_g f_{dac} v_0^b v_0^d x_j^c \Big] dt(w). \end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

With (72) it follows

$$d\pi_g^i = \left(D^e_{kg} F^{ki}_{e(x)} + f_b{}^a{}_g v_0^b \pi_a^i\right) dt.$$

One can note that, for QCD in both approaches, v_g^k have been determined by integrability conditions. In the degenerate approach, the non-abelian generalization of the Gauss law, $D^e_{ja}\pi_e^j = 0$, arises as an integrability condition, while in the standard one it can be obtained only when equations of motion and a consistency condition ($\pi_a^0 = 0 \Rightarrow d\pi_a^0 = 0$) are applied.

6 Final Remarks

In this work we could see how the first order Hamilton-Jacobi approach can be used to describe systems with higher order derivatives. With an extension of the configuration space, we were able to make two different approaches for such systems in analogy to what is known in the hamiltonian formalism (SA and DC). As it is seen in this work, in the HJ context we see that all results obtained in the SA are obtained in the DC description. However it is important to notice that it was possible only when a kind of consistency condition was used

in the SA. This seems to be an advantage of the DC approach, where no extra condition must be considered and therefore less calculations must be made.

One interesting feature of the application of the first order HJ formalism to DC approach is that no redefinition of the singularity of the theory had to be made, as it happens in [13]. According to Gitman and Tyutin, the gauge character of the theory would strictly correlated to the singularity of the new Hessian matrix proposed by them when degenerate coordinates exist. This is an analysis that cannot be made in this work, since no redefinition of the Hessian matrix has been done here. However, what one can see in the example of the QCD (which is a gauge theory with degenerate coordinates) is that, in the HJ first order approach, perhaps this redefinition is not necessary.

Acknowledgements

BMP would like to thank D. M. Gitman for providing the reference [11] and BMP and PJP would like to thank D. M. Gitman for introducing them to the reference [13] and the discussions about it.

BMP was partially supported by CNPq and FAPESP; MCB was supported by CAPES; PJP would like to thank CTA staff for incentive.

References

[1] M. Ostrogradski, Mem. Ac. St. Petersbourg 1 (1850) 385 .

[2] B. Podolsky, Phys. Rev. 62 (1942) 68; B. Podolsky and C. Kikuchi, Phys. Rev. 65 (1944) 228; B. Podolsky and P. Schwed, Rev. Mod. Phys. 20 (1948) 40.

[3] F. Bopp, Ann. Physik 38 (1940) 345.

[4] A. Green, Phys. Rev. 73 (1948) 26; 75 (1949) 1926.

[5] K. S. Stelle, Phys. Rev. D 16 (1977) 953.

[6] P. West, Nucl. Phys. B. 268 (1986) 113.

[7] A. I. Alekseev, B. A. Arbuzov and V. A. Baikov, Theor. Math. Phys. 52 (1982) 739; 59 (1983) 372.

[8] R. R. Cuzinatto, C. A. M. de Melo and P. J. Pompeia, Annals of Physics, doi:10.10.16/j.aop.2006.07.006; R. R. Cuzinatto, C. A. M. de Melo and P. J. Pompeia, Gauge Formulation for Higher Order Gravity, gr-qc/0611116.

[9] P. A. M. Dirac, Can. J. Math. 2 (1950) 129; 3 (1951) 129.

[10] P. A. M. Dirac, Lectures on Quantum Mechanics (Belfer Graduate School of Science, Yeshiva University, New York, 1964).

[11] D. M. Gitman, S. L. Lyakhovich and I. V. Tyutin, Soviet Phys. Journ. 26 (1983) 730.

[12] D. M. Gitman and I. V. Tyutin, Quantization of Fields with Constraints (Springer-Verlag, New York/ Berlin, 1990).

[13] D. M. Gitman and I. V. Tyutin, Nucl. Phys. B 630 (2002) 509.

[14] C. Carathéodory, Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations of the First Order (AMS Chelsea Publishing Company, 1999).

[15] Y. Güler, Il Nuovo Cimento B 107 (1992) 1398; 107 (1992) 1143.

[16] B. M. Pimentel, R. G. Teixeira and J. L. Tomazelli, Ann. Phys. 267 (1998) 75.

[17] Y. Güler, Il Nuovo Cimento B 109 (1994) 341; 111 (1996) 513.

[18] Y. Güler and D. Baleanu, Il Nuovo Cimento B 114 (1999) 1023; 115 (2000) 319.

[19] B. M. Pimentel, P. J. Pompeia, J. F. da Rocha-Neto and R. G. Teixeira, Gen. Rel. Grav. 35 (2003) 877.

[20] B. M. Pimentel, P. J. Pompeia, J. F. da Rocha-Neto, Il Nuovo Cimento B 120 (2005) 981.

[21] Soon-Tae Hong, Yong-Wan Kim, Young-Jai Park, K. D. Rothe, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 17 (2002) 435.

[22] B. M. Pimentel and R. G. Teixeira, Il Nuovo Cimento B 111 (1996) 841.

[23] C. A. P. Galvão and B. M. Pimentel, Can. J. Phys. 66 (1988) 460.

[24] B. M. Pimentel and R. G. Teixeira, Il Nuovo Cimento B 113 (1998) 805.

[25] M. C. Bertin, B. M. Pimentel and P. J. Pompeia, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 20 (2005) 2873.